

Tetrahedron Letters 45 (2004) 4917–4920

Tetrahedron Letters

Cobalt(II)-catalyzed chemoselective synthesis of acetals from aldehydes

Subbarayan Velusamy and T. Punniyamurthy*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India Received 9 March 2004; revised 14 April 2004; accepted 21 April 2004

Abstract—The acetalization of aldehydes has been studied with methanol, ethanol, and 2-propanol using CoCl₂ in high yields under reflux conditions. The reaction is simple, efficient, chemoselective and does not involve any other additive. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Acetalization is one of the most widely used synthetic methods for protecting aldehydes and ketones in the course of the preparation of a variety of multifunctional complex organic molecules.¹ Generally, these reactions are carried out by treatment of carbonyl compounds with the alcohol and/or the corresponding orthoformate in the presence of an acid catalyst. Some methods for the formation of dimethyl acetals include dry HCl in MeOH,² LaCl₃/MeOH/(MeO)₃CH,³ MeOH–(COOH)₂/DCC–SnCl₄,⁴ (MeO)₃CH/*p*-TsOH,⁵ Me₃SiOMe/Me₃Si-OTf,⁶ MeOH/(MeO)₄Si/dry HCl,⁷ and MeOH/ PhSO₂NHOH.⁸ Fewer methods are known for the synthesis of diethyl acetals. These include (EtO)₃CH/ HCl,⁹ (EtO)₃CH/FeCl₃¹⁰ in refluxing ethanol, (EtO)₃CH/Amberlyst,¹¹ DDQ¹² and ZrCl₄.¹³ Some other methods that do not involve protic acid catalysis and operate under almost neutral conditions have also been reported.¹⁴ Most of these existing methods, however, catalyze the acetalization of both aldehydes and ketones^{1–12,14a–g} and little is known about a sufficiently selective as well as convenient acetalization method for aldehydes in the presence of the ketones. 13,14h

Recently, we and others reported that CoCl₂ catalyzes efficiently the tosylation¹⁵ and acylation¹⁶ of alcohols in high yields. Since CoCl₂ is a mild Lewis acid, inexpensive, water tolerant and operates under nearly neutral conditions, its further exploration for other functional

transformations will be quite useful. Herein we report the chemoselective acetalization in high yields of aldehydes without affecting ketones using 5 mol% of CoCl₂ (Scheme 1). This method is simple, efficient and does not involve either a halogenated solvent or an additive, which is important from the economical and environmental viewpoint. To the best of our knowledge no report is available in the literature using a metal salt as a catalyst for the selective acetalization of aldehydes with alcohols in presence of ketones without any other additive.

The acetalization of 2-nitrobenzaldehyde was first carried out with CoCl₂ in dry methanol. As expected the reaction took place and afforded the corresponding acetal in 91% yield when reaction was allowed to reflux for 4h in the presence of 5 mol% CoCl₂ (Table 1).¹⁷ This method was also effective with ethanol and 2-propanol affording the corresponding acetals in 84% and 52% yields, respectively. Similarly, the acetalization of 3- and 4-nitrobenzaldehydes was studied with methanol and ethanol and the corresponding acetals were obtained in 84–96% yields. It is noteworthy that the catalyst can be filtered and recycled without loss of activity after drying in an air-oven at 110 °C for 3 h.¹⁸

R=alkyl, aryl; R'=CH₃-, C₂H₅-, (CH₃)₂CH-

Scheme 1.

Keywords: Acetalization; Chemoselective; Aldehyde; Catalyst; Cobalt (II) chloride.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91-361-2690321; fax: +91-361-26907-62; e-mail: tpunni@iitg.ernet.in

Table 1. Acetalization of nitrobenzaldehydes with MeOH, EtOH, and PrOH in the presence of CoCl₂

CHO
$$C_0Cl_2$$
 $CH(OR)_2$ $CH(OR)_2$ CH_2O CH_2O

NO_2	R	Time (h)	Yielda (%)
Ortho	Me	4.0	91
	Et	7.0	84
	i Pr	15.0	52
Meta	Me	3.5	95
	Et	4.0	84
Para	Me	2.0	96

^a A mixture of aldehyde (1 mmol), CoCl₂ (5 mol %) and alcohol (4 mL) was refluxed for the appropriate time using a CaCl₂ guard tube.

To study the scope of this protocol, the acetalization of other aldehydes was performed with MeOH and EtOH (Table 2, entries 1–14).¹⁷ Benzaldehyde was converted to the corresponding methyl and ethyl acetals in 78% and 73% yields, respectively. Likewise, 4-bromobenzaldehyde, 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde, 1-naphthaldehyde, geranial, cinnamaldehyde and heptanal were transformed to the respective acetals in 67–95% yields. The reactivities of the aromatic and allylic aldehydes were greater compared to heptanal.

The reactions were chemoselective. For example, when a mixture of benzaldehyde and acetophenone was subjected to acetalization with methanol using 5 mol % of CoCl₂, the aldehyde was chemoselectively converted to the corresponding acetal and no reaction was observed with acetophenone. A similar observation was noted with a cyclohexanone and heptanal mixture (Scheme 2). A separate experiment with cyclohexanone and methanol was also carried out and no reaction was observed even after 10 h refluxing. These studies clearly reveal that this method can be applied to the chemoselective acetalization of aldehydes in the presence of ketones.

Table 2. Chemoselective acetalization of aldehdyes with CoCl₂

Entry	Aldehyde	Alcohol	Time (h)	Product	Yield ^{a,b} (%)
1	СНО	МеОН	2.0	CH(OMe) ₂	78
2		EtOH	2.5	CH(OEt) ₂	73
3	Вг	МеОН	1.0	Br CH(OMe) ₂	95
4		EtOH	1.1	Br CH(OEt) ₂	91
5	MeO CHO MeO OMe	МеОН	4.0	MeO CH(OMe) ₂ MeO OMe	78
6		EtOH	4.0	MeO CH(OEt) ₂ MeO OMe	67
7	СНО	МеОН	0.4	CH(OMe) ₂	73
8		EtOH	1.0	CH(OEt) ₂	67
9	СНО	МеОН	0.3	CH(OMe) ₂	79

Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Aldehyde	Alcohol	Time (h)	Product	Yield ^{a,b} (%)
10		EtOH	0.4	CH(OEt) ₂	74
11	СНО	МеОН	0.3	CH(OMe) ₂	75
12		EtOH	0.4	CH(OEt) ₂	70
13	H ₁₁ C ₅ CHO	MeOH	3.5	H ₁₁ C ₅ CH(OMe) ₂	90
14		EtOH	4.0	H ₁₁ C ₅ CH(OEt) ₂	82

^a A solution of CoCl₂ (5 mol%), aldehyde (1 mmol), and alcohol (4 mL) was refluxed for the appropriate time using a CaCl₂ guard tube.

Scheme 2.

In conclusion, a simple, efficient, and eco-friendly protocol is described for the chemoselective acetalization of aldehydes with alcohols using the cheap and water tolerant CoCl₂ as a catalyst. It does not involve additives and the catalyst can be recycled without loss of activity. Removal of water is not warranted in these reactions.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Science and Technology (sanction no. SR/S1/OC-092002), New Delhi and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (sanction no. 01(1804)/02/EMR-II), New Delhi.

References and notes

- (a) Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1999; (b) Hanson, J. R. Protecting Groups in Organic Synthesis, 1st ed.; Blackwell Science: Malden, MA, 1999; (c) Kocienski, P. J. Protecting Groups, 1st ed.; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1994.
- Cameron, A. F. B.; Hunt, J. S.; Oughton, J. F.; Wilkinson, P. A.; Wilson, B. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 3864.
- 3. Gemal, A. L.; Luche, J.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4187.
- 4. Anderson, S. H.; Uh, H.-S. Synth. Commun. 1973, 3, 125.

- Wenkert, E.; Goodwin, T. E. Synth. Commun. 1977, 7, 409
- Tsunoda, T.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 1357.
- 7. Zajac, W. W.; Byrne, K. J. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3375.
- Hassner, A.; Wiederkehr, R.; Kascheres, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1962.
- 9. Fife, T. H.; Jao, L. K. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 39, 2565.
- Bornstein, J.; Bedell, S. F.; Drummond, P. E.; Kopsloski, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 83.
- 11. Patwardhan, S. A.; Dev, S. Synthesis 1974, 348.
- 12. Karimi, B.; Ashtiani, A. M. Chem. Lett. 1999, 1199.
- 13. Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Karimi, B. Synlett 1999, 321.
- 14. Rh(III)triphos moieties: (a) Ott, J.; Tombo, G. M. R.; Schmit, B.; Venanzi, L. M.; Wang, G.; Ward, T. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6151; BF₃·Et₂O: (b) Torok, D. S.; Figueroa, J. J.; Scott, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 7274; Ti(OR)₄/TiCl₄: (c) Mahrwald, R. J. Prakt. Chem. 1994, 336, 361; Envirocats: (d) Beregszaszi, T.; Molnar, A. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 3705; Pt-Mo/ZrO₂: (e) Reddy, B. M.; Reddy, V. R.; Giridhar, D. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 1819; Microwave irradiation: (f) Perio, B.; Dozias, M.-J.; Jacquault, P.; Hamelin, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7867; TiCl₄/Et₃N: (g) Clerici, A.; Pastori, N.; Porta, O. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 15679; N-Bromosuccinimide: (h) Karimi, B.; Seradj, H.; Ebrahimian, G.-R. Synlett 1999, 1456; Bi(OTf)₃: (i) Leonard, N. M.; Oswald, M. C.; Freiberg, D. A.; Nattier, B. A.; Smith, R. C.; Mohan, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5202.
- Velusamy, S.; Punniyamurthy, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 203.

^b Isolated yield.

- (a) Iqbal, J.; Srivastava, R. R. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 52, 2001; (b) Ahmad, S.; Iqbal, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3791; (c) Ahmad, S.; Iqbal, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 114; (d) Ahmad, S.; Iqbal, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 692.
- 17. Typical acetalization procedure: A mixture of aldehyde (1 mmol), CoCl₂ (5 mol%, dried at 110 °C for 3 h prior to use) and dry alcohol (4 mL) was refluxed for the appropriate time (see Tables 1 and 2) using a calcium chloride guard tube. After the starting material was consumed (as indicated by TLC), the alcohol was evaporated under
- reduced pressure to afford a residue, which was treated with ethyl acetate (5 mL). The cobalt(II) salt was filtered and the filtrate was passed (after concentrating to 0.5 mL under reduced pressure) through a short pad of basic alumina using ethyl acetate and hexane (1:19) as eluent to provide analytically pure acetal, which was characterized by 400 MHz ¹H NMR and IR analysis.
- 18. The recovered cobalt(II) salt (>98%), after drying at 110 °C for 3 h, was investigated for the acetalization of 2-nitrobenzaldehyde with methanol and 90% of acetal was obtained.